



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,462	03/26/2004	Rajendra Tushar Moorti	15574US02	9326
23446 MCANDREW	7590 05/14/2007 S HELD & MALLOY, L	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	DISON STREET	CHOW, CHARLES CHIANG		
CHICAGO, IL	60661	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination MOORTI ET AL.		
	Chow Charles	Art Unit 2618		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for	r Review filed <u>4/18/07</u> .	
 Improper Request – The Request is improp reason(s): 	er and a conference will r	not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed co ☐ The request does not include reasons why ☐ A proposed amendment is included with th ☐ Other:	a review is appropriate.	•
The time period for filing a response continues to rethe mail date of the last Office communication, if no		
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and I held. The application remains under appeal becau is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, what appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 bas of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	se there is at least one ac with 37 CFR 41.37. The s decision, or the balance hichever is greater. Furthe	ctual issue for appeal. Applicant time period for filing an appeal e of the two-month time period er, the time period for filing of the
The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,9-17,19-27 and 29-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8,18,	, ,	
3. Allowable application – A conference has a Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merit applicant at this time.		
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has be action will be mailed. No further action is required		
All participants:		EDWARD F. URBAN
(1) <u>Chow Charles</u> .	(3) <u>Edward Urban</u> .	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(2) Tommy Chin	(4)	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600